

# Questionnaire

Please complete the following questionnaire, tear off and return to the freepost address provided at the end of this questionnaire. You can also provide your feedback online via:

- [www.croydon.gov.uk/wasteplan](http://www.croydon.gov.uk/wasteplan)
- [www.kingston.gov.uk/wasteplan](http://www.kingston.gov.uk/wasteplan)
- [www.merton.gov.uk/wasteplan](http://www.merton.gov.uk/wasteplan)
- [www.sutton.gov.uk/wasteplan](http://www.sutton.gov.uk/wasteplan)

## Sites

**Q1a** Table 2.2 of the Consultation Document identifies existing waste sites considered to have potential for re-development as waste management facilities.

**Do you agree that these sites are broadly suitable for the re-development of waste facilities?**

Yes  No

**Q1b** Do you have any specific comments to make about any site identified in Table 2.2?

Yes  Site number/s:

Please comment:


No

**Q2a** Table 2.3 of the Consultation Document identifies an existing waste site considered to have deliverability constraints and is therefore unsuitable for re-development as waste management facilities.

**Do you agree with the deliverability constraints identified for the site in Table 2.3?**

Yes  No

**Q2b** Do you have any specific comments to make about the site identified in Table 2.3?

Yes

Please comment:

	Rail aggregate depots serve an important function for importation of construction materials, not just for London, but for adjacent parts of Surrey, so any waste development should seek to maintain and not constrain aggregate depot functions.

No

**Q3a** Table 2.4 of the Consultation Document identifies top scoring new sites considered to have opportunity for developing waste management facilities.

**Do you agree that these sites are broadly suitable for the re-development of waste facilities?**

Yes  No

**Q3b Do you have any specific comments to make about any site identified in Table 2.4?**

Yes  Site number/s:

Please comment:


No

**Q4a** Table 2.5 of the Consultation Document identifies top scoring new sites considered to have deliverability constraints and therefore unsuitable for developing waste management facilities.

**Do you agree with the deliverability constraints identified for the sites in Table 2.5?**

Yes  No

**Q4b Do you have any specific comments to make about any site identified in Table 2.5?**

Yes  Site number/s:

Please comment:

	On Site 45, proximity to the B280, raises concern about potential traffic generation through Epsom town centre, where HGV traffic, and particularly waste traffic, is an existing issue.

No

**Q5a** Table 2.6 of the Consultation Document identifies Industrial estates which generally score well and are expected to have some deliverable areas.

**Do you agree that these sites are likely to contain areas broadly suitable for the re-development of waste facilities?**

Yes  No

**Q5b** Do you think the South London Waste Plan should allocate specific sites within the Industrial areas for waste management?

Yes  No

**If yes, please use the figures overleaf to identify which areas you feel should be allocated to waste management**

**Do you have any specific comments to make about any site identified in Table 2.6?**

Yes  Site number/s:

Please comment:


No

**If answering yes, to Q5b, please use the following figures to identify specific areas of the industrial estates you feel should be allocated to waste management:**

*Site 102: Purley Way, Lysander Road and Imperial Way Industrial Area, Croydon*




*Site 351: Chessington Industrial Estate, Kingston*



**If answering yes, to Q5b, please use the figures below to identify specific areas of the industrial estates you feel should be allocated to waste management:**

*Site 352: Chessington Industrial Estate, Kingston*



*Site 353: Chessington Industrial Estate, Kingston*



*Site 642: Dumsford Road Industrial Area, Merton*



*Site 69: Willow Lane Industrial Estate*




*Site 491: Kimpton Industrial Estate, Land North of Minden Road, Merton*



If answering yes, to Q5b, please use the figures below to identify specific areas of the industrial estates you feel should be allocated to waste management:

Sites 5312, 532, 533, 534, 535, 539: Beddington Farmlands, Sutton



## Policies

**Q6** As discussed in Section 2 of the Consultation Document, almost 140 sites have been assessed. Only those considered to have some potential, or those which have scored well but where deliverability constraints have been identified, are presented in this Consultation Document.

The assessment for all sites is available online and in the accompanying Technical Report. We welcome your views on all sites. We encourage you to comment on these other sites by visiting <http://southlondonwasteplan.limehouse.co.uk> or contacting the Project Manager, whose details appear in this document.

Do you have any comments about any other site assessed for the South London Waste Plan?

*Please provide the site number and describe your reasons why this / these are particularly suitable or unsuitable.*

Area to south of Malden Rushett/Silverglade Business Park (Site 36) - SCC would not wish to see Green Belt loss and encroachment into Chessington/Epsom/Leatherhead/Oxshott gap. Also would have similar concern, as with Site 45, about HGV traffic generation along B280 to and from Epsom town centre.

**Q7** Are there any other sites, not already identified that you think would be suitable for waste management facilities?

*Please describe the site location and the reasons why you think this is suitable for a waste management facility. Please include a site map if possible.*


**Q8** Proposed Policy WP1: The Strategic Approach, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with this policy?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q9** Proposed Policy WP2: Waste minimisation, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with this policy?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q10** Proposed Policy WP3: Existing waste management sites, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with this policy?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q11** Proposed Policy WP4: Proposed sites for new / enhanced waste management facilities, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with this policy?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q12** Proposed Policy WP5: Waste related development on unallocated sites, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with the content of this policy, or would you prefer to see higher standards for waste sites? If so, what should these higher standards be?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q13** Proposed Policy WP6: Development criteria, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with the content of this policy, or would you prefer to see higher standards for waste sites? If so, what should these higher standards be?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:


**Q14** Proposed Policy WP7: Sustainable, modern energy recovery, its justifying text and monitoring regime are identified in the Consultation Document. Do you agree with this policy?

Yes  No

Do you have any further comments, or comments on the proposed policy's supporting text or monitoring regime?

Please comment:

Members may wish to add comment.


**Q15** Do you have any other comments about any other aspect of the South London Waste Plan?

Yes  No

Please comment:




**Thank you for completing this questionnaire.**

**It would be helpful if you would provide the following details:**

Your name:

Name of company / group / organisation you represent (if any:)

Contact address:

Contact telephone:

Contact Email address:

- We will use your details to contact you when we consult further on the development of the South London Waste Plan
- If you do not wish to be contacted about future consultations on the development of the South London Waste Plan, please tick this box.

Please return to: The South London Waste Plan, Planning Policy Team, Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames, FREEPOST KT644, Kingston Upon Thames, Surrey, KT1 1BR or Email: southlondonwasteplan@rbk.kingston.gov.uk

Please help us to check that we are reaching all sections of the community by providing the optional details.

**Data Protection** All information is confidential and will only be used under the strict controls of the Data Protection Act 1998.

**Male/Female**

**Age** 10-15  16-25  26-35  36-55  56-65  66+

**Do you consider that you have a disability? Yes/No** if yes what is the nature of your disability?

**Please circle the ethnic group that best applies to you:**

White, British | White, Irish | White, Other | Black Caribbean | Black African | Black, British, Other | Black, Other | Mixed, White and Black Caribbean | Mixed, White and Black African | Mixed, White and Asian | Mixed, Other | Asian or Asian British, Indian | Asian or Asian | British, Pakistani | Asian or Asian British, Bangladeshi | Chinese  
Other (please specify)

Don't know / Don't want to answer

